

Code of Conduct:

The «Rivista Italiana di Musicologia» is a peer-reviewed journal that uses a double-blind review process to publish essays. All parties involved in the review process — authors, editors, the scientific committee, consultants, and reviewers — are aware of and agree to the required ethical standards. Our Code of Conduct is based on the Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors formulated by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Duties of the General Editor and editorial board:

The «Rivista Italiana di Musicologia» decides whether to accept or reject articles based on their scientific quality and originality. The editor is responsible for the evaluation and review process and is supported by the scientific committee, consultants, and external peer reviewers. Each article is evaluated by two anonymous reviewers, and their reasoned opinions are communicated in writing to the authors. The «RIdM» is committed to ensuring an impartial evaluation process. In the event of a conflict between the two reviewers' evaluations, the «RIdM» may seek the opinion of a third reviewer. An article is accepted if it receives at least one positive evaluation. The journal evaluates submitted articles based on their intellectual content without discriminating against the authors based on their race, gender, sexual orientation, religious beliefs, ethnic origin, citizenship, or scientific, academic, or political orientation. The journal guarantees that texts submitted for publication will remain confidential during the evaluation process. The confidentiality of personal, research, or professional information is guaranteed throughout the review process. The journal's management stores the results of the peer review process in a confidential database. The journal complies with legal provisions regarding defamation, copyright infringement, and plagiarism. It has a duty to act in accordance with copyright law in cases of author misconduct or other types of abuse.

Reviewer Duties:

Articles are submitted to two reviewers for evaluation. Their reasoned opinions are communicated in full to the authors in writing. The texts are sent to the reviewers anonymously, and the reviewers' evaluations are sent to the authors anonymously. Reviewers are asked to provide comprehensive and detailed feedback and suggest corrections and additions to the author. Reviewers must treat the material sent by the journal as confidential and must not use the information obtained through the review of manuscripts for personal gain. They must also disclose any potential conflicts of interest to the editorial board before accepting the task and throughout the review process. They are also invited to inform the editorial board if they detect violations of intellectual property laws or other types of abuse.

Responsibilities of Authors:

Authors are personally responsible for the content of their articles and must guarantee the originality and authorship of texts submitted to the journal. They must clearly indicate their sources and quote them accurately and precisely. Submitted texts must not have been published in other journals (even in a different language) and must not reproduce parts published elsewhere without explicit quotation. During the review process, authors also agree not to submit the text to other journals for publication. For texts written by multiple authors, the lead author must ensure that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version and agree to submit it for publication. Authors are encouraged to adhere to the journal's editorial guidelines to ensure articles are comprehensive and clear, aligning with the journal's scientific and editorial standards.